

Tutorial 6, Question 2i

To prove

$$\{\forall x p(x, x) \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z (p(x, y) \wedge p(y, z) \Rightarrow p(x, z)) \wedge \forall x \forall y [p(x, y) \vee p(y, x)]\} \Rightarrow \exists y \forall x p(y, x)$$

is true for any interpretation with a finite domain.

Proof

We shall prove this by induction on the size of the domain ($|D|$).

Case 1: $|D| = 1$

Let a be the only element in the domain. Then, for the antecedent to be true, $p(a, a)$ must be true. Since a is the only element, the consequent also becomes true.

Case 2: $|D| = n + 1$

We assume that the statement holds for all domains of size n . From a domain of size n , we create a domain of size $n + 1$ by adding a new element, say a . Let b be the element present in the domain of size n , whose existence is guaranteed by the statement. (i.e., $\forall y p(b, y)$).

Now, in the new domain, for the antecedent to be true, we have $p(a, a)$ and $p(a, b)$ or $p(b, a)$.

If $p(b, a)$, we can say $\forall y p(b, y)$, since we already know from our assumption that for each element x except a , $p(b, x)$ is true.

If $p(a, b)$, we can infer that for each element x apart from a and $p(a, x)$ is true, since for each of them, $p(b, x)$ is true according to our assumption, and we also have that $\forall x \forall y \forall z (p(x, y) \wedge p(y, z) \Rightarrow p(x, z))$. Since we also know that $p(a, a)$ is true, we can say that, $\forall y p(a, y)$ is true.

Hence in either case, there exists x such that $\forall y (p(x, y))$.

To prove that it is not valid for the domain of natural numbers, we can define p as follows:

$$\forall x \forall y (p(x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \leq y)$$